Cont - 81-0233

# Approved For Release 2003/06/26 CP-RDP84B00890R000800100042-5

Central Intelligence Agency







DD/A Registry

27 February 1981

The Honorable David A. Stockman Director Office of Management and Budget Washington, D.C. 20503

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

DD/A REGISTRY
FILE: Draw (-6

Dear Dave: My review of CIA's 1981 funding needs for travel has led me to the conclusion that imposition of the reduction in travel, as directed in recent OMB instructions, would cause serious disruption to this Agency's mission. Therefore, I request an exemption from the travel limitation. 25X1 I intend to convey in this letter and enclosures the specific basis for my concerns and the reasons why CIA needs a net upward adjustment in its permitted obligations for travel. But let me first make two general observations. The first is that for an Agency like CIA, travel is absolutely crucial to its day-to-day work. Whether it is meeting an agent who has urgently requested a meeting in a third country, or rapidly dispatching a team to react to a move by a terrorist or KGB target, or monitoring multimillion dollar contracts CIA cannot function effectively if it is fettered by an artificial limitation on the amounts of travel that can be done by its officers. My second point is that generalized ceilings on government travel disproportionately affect CIA. Almost half of our travel involves transporting officers to and from overseas 25X1 assignments. This is in contrast to a much lower governmentwide percentage. Obviously, this means that a ceiling works a special hardship on CIA's temporary duty travel, most of which is absolutely essential to our functioning. 1981 Status to Date CIA's estimated 1981 requirement for travel funds, as listed in the 1982 budget, is Of this amount, \_\_\_\_ or 36 percent, 25X1 was obligated as of 31 January, leaving only 64 percent of the amount originally budgeted for the last two-thirds of the year, and the summer months during which PCS travel is highest are yet to come. A 10 percent reduction would result in a revised total of leaving only 25X1 54 percent of the budgeted amount, for the last two-thirds of the year.

are discussed below under non-PCS travel needs.

make matters worse, we have identified a total of \$3.3 million in additional high priority travel needs, most of which we will have to perform. These

Approved For Release 2003/06/26 : CIA-RDP84B00890R000800100042-5

SECRET

#### Approved For Release 2003/06/26 : CIA-RDP84B00890R000800100042-5

# SECRET

	Permanent Change of Station Travel	
?5X1	More than one quarter of CIA's full-time permanent positions are stationed overseas  Most of the labor-intensive intelligence collection work which we are tasked to perform obviously cannot be done in Washington. The manning of overseas sites, in particular, requires rotation on a regular basis, much as in the military service. Thus, the number of PCS moves and their cost can be calculated with some precision. Our current estimate for 1981 PCS travel is  This cannot be reduced significantly unless we leave large numbers of overseas positions.	25X
25X1	vacant. If we attempted to extend tours—most of which are already 3-year tours—mandatory home leave and other factors (such as temporary replacements) would offset the savings.  Table 1 details our plans for PCS travel in 1981. I can only reiterate in the strongest terms that manning of these overseas positions is vital to CIA's basic programs of clandestine operations, signals intelligence collections.	ີ 25X
<sup>1</sup> 5X1	Sion that we cannot reasonably reduce PCS travel. I request that the travel restriction not be applied to CIA's PCS travel costs.  Non-PCS Travel  Because so much of CIA's travel is tied up in PCS moves, any acrossthe-board cut works a disproportionate burden on our TDY travel. And even without any curtailment, we are short in 1981 in travel funds in this category.	25X
25X1	CIA's 1982 budget carries an estimate of	25X
1. * 	The diversity of CIA's programs makes it difficult to convey in sum-	
	mary fashion the prospective adverse effects of a travel reduction. I will concentrate on the three principal areas where our currently budgeted amounts will clearly be inadequate (\$ in millions):	•
	Estimated 1981	
4	National Program Support	25X
	Clandestine Operations	
	Signala Intelligence	

Approved For Release 2003/06/26 : CIA-RDP84B00890R000800100042-5

#### Approved For Release 2003/06/26 : CIA-RDP84B00890R000800100042-5

# SECRET

	(1) National Program Support. Under agreements with the Department of	, tuái
	Defense, CIA is the manager of contracts for certain national	25V1
25X1	programs which in 1981 will cost over This is	<del>5</del> 5\$4
_	almost three times the program level in 1979. In 1979 we spent about	20/(1
25X1	on travel managing these projects. The CIA staff involved	
	in managing these programs is very lean when compared to NASA or DoD	
	standards-travel to direct contractors is key to our management philosophy.	
4.	The 1981 travel estimate in the 1982 Budget is which we are	25X1
	becoming increasingly aware will be wholly inadequate. We now estimate	23/\ 1
	that because of the increased level of work and the impact of inflation,	
25X1	a total of will be required for the support of these programs.	
-0, ( )	I have come to this conclusion only after a detailed review.	OEV4
		25X1
	If we were to cut, or not increase, our travel funds devoted to	
	monitoring national programs there would be two possible results:	
	The state of the s	
	1) We would simply exercise much less control and over-	
	sight of our contractors. This would greatly increase	
	the risk of programmatic setback, delay, and even	
	failure. Contract costs would inevitably increase.	
		1.47
	2) The contractors would increase their travel, raising	A 9 . *
	the costs to the US Government beyond what it would	
MARENTALL MARIEMAN	cost for CIA people to travel.	· · · · ·
		4 May 1
	For the relatively small amount of travel money involved, I do not believe	ক প্রতিষ্ঠ
* *	either of these options makes sense. It is my judgment that it would not	
	be economical or reasonable to limit the total travel budget for national	
25X1-	program support to less than the now known to be required.	051/4
10V 1-	now known to be required.	25X1
25X1	(2) Clandestine Operations. The budgeted for 1981 for non-	1
2011	PCS travel for the Directorate of Operations will be inadequate. Increasing	
	tasks and inflation have led to the obligation through 31 January of 40	
25X1	percent of the budgeted	2.14
- <b>3</b> 73 1		
	Travel is frequently a key ingredient in the conduct of successful	
ERAN	CIA clandestine operations. For security reasons, it is frequently neces-	**************************************
1.0	sary for the CIA case officer and his agent to travel to another country	
	for personal meetings. This operational necessity, which had been common-	
1	place in such tightly controlled countries as has in the	25X1
25X1	past year been extended to other countries. Worldwide operations	23/
25X1	against and other "hard targets" require considerable	· A
20/(1	operational travel. It is, moreover, frequently necessary to send on TDY	,
	linguistically trained operations officers, technical experts and translators	
	to those countries where their particular expertise is required to meet	
	agents and conduct technical operations. Such expertise, always in short	
3	supply, must be centrally controlled by Headquarters and dispatched on a	
	timely basis as required. Without adequate travel funds we will simply be	
	unable to exploit the desired level of operational targets.	25X1
		Z3/\

### Approved For Release 2003/06/26 : CIA-RDP84B00890R000800100042-5 SECRET

	A description of the types of cuts which would be required with a	
	10 percent reduction can be found in Table 4. The cuts would, of necessity,	
9 July 18	adversely affect virtually all clandestine operations overseas, including	
e englis	covert action operations in Southwest Asia and Central America, counter-	
10.00	intelligence, agent meetings, turnover of assets, and	25X1
25X1	I believe strongly that clandestine operations	20/(1
-0/(1	will need to be augmented rather than reduced at this critical period and	
	that the Directorate of Operations will need at least for	25X1
SEVA "	non-PCS travel in 1981.	23 <u>/</u> I
25X1	non-ros travel in 1701.	本个子 <b>子为</b>
		h in the
	(3) Signals Intelligence. The Office of SIGINT Operations (OSO) has been	
	particularly hard pressed to operate effectively within the budgeted travel	
	funds last year and this year because of requirements to establish new over-	
- 14 - 15 4 15 5	seas sites, many of which require intercept surveys by TDY personnel and	
	other types of TDY support. OSO has already obligated 50 percent of its	
	1981 TDY travel funds through 31 January. If implemented, the 10 percent	
	reduction would effectively eliminate TDY support for the rest of the year	
	for most of CIA's covert intercept activities. This would likely affect	
	our ability to effectively monitor events such as	25X1
25X1 25X1	Because of the above, I will need to obligate an	
25X1	additional for Signals Intelligence related non-PCS travel	
	in 1981.	
		* . *. · · · ·
122 × 3.5	(4) Other. The remaining CIA TDY travel funds total These	25X1
100 pt 10	funds cover activities such as communications and security for overseas posts,	20/(1
25X1	analytic research, inspector general and	
2001	general counsel activities, logistics, training, and reserach and development	
	activities. A travel reduction on CIA communications and security activities,	
	in particular, would cause serious disruption to CIA's basic mission. CIA	
	requires communicators for its stations overseas and serves as a major	
25X1		
	reduction in the communications area are detailed in Table 5. CIA's security	
	programs are especially dependent upon travel funds. Some one-third of our	• •
	security personnel are in travel status at any one time, and it would be	
	most unwise to impede our security work because of travel restrictions. In	
	light of the 12 percent reduction in purchasing power since 1979 already	4
	reflected in the 1981 travel amount, I request that the travel reduction	
11		
	not be applied to communications, security, and the other activities that	0.51/.4
25X1	are budgeted forin 1981	25X1
		IN EAST MADE
	Request.	
	For the above reasons, detailed in Table 6, I request that CIA be	
*	exempted from the travel limitation so that it can reprogram its funds	
	as necessary to meet the kind of unexpected needs which develop so frequently	
1 - A	in carrying out this kind of mission.	25X1
	The Court of the C	20A I
a Alley de la	Under our appoint travel hidset rifthout a 10 nament out of	051/4
	Under our present travel budget, without a 10 percent cut, of	25X1
	we will require the reprogramming of within CIA's current, but	25X1
	I believe this can be accomplished in part from economies and projected	

# Approved For Release 2003/06/26 : CIA-RDP84B00890R000800100042-5

	그는 사람들이 하는 사람들이 가장 되었다. 사람들은 사람들이 되었다면 하는 사람들이 되었다. 그는 사람들이 되었다면 하는 사람들이 되었다.	The state of the Principles
	savings from the procurement limitation and I am prepared to direct reductions elsewhere in CIA's program to provide the remaining funds needed to meet our	
25X1	critical travel requirements.	
25X1	But CIA needs no less than for travel in 1981 to effectively	
	accomplish the tasks currently assigned to it. But if the were to	25X1
	be cut by 10 percent, assets and investments in place would be wasted on an extravagant scale. Our ability to carry out our mission would be drastically	
	curtailed if we had to cut travel expenditures by some Therefore,	2EV4
4.4	I have to ask you to provide us full flexibility in meeting travel requirements.	25X1
25X1	Even with available for travel, the provided in the	25X1
	budget without the 10 percent cut andreprogrammed from other portions of the budget, stringent measures and close monitoring will be	25X1
	required to assure that available travel funds are most effectively allocated.	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Sincerely,	
	7/11/10 /1/2	* 1
	1/than Pasey	
	William J. Casey	
	Enclosures	

Next 6 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Distribution:
Orig - Adse

1 - DDCI

1 - ER

1 - CIAP Monitor, RMS

1 - DDA

1 - D/NFAC

1 - DDO

1 - DDS&T

1 - AO/DCI

1 - Compt Subject

1 - Compt Reading

1 - Compt

1 - D/Compt

1 - Each Compt Group Chief

1 - EO/Compt

1 - OGC

O/Compt/

25X1